



Data Structure

(Course Code: 25B11CI318)

Interpolation and Median Search

Mr. Sandeep Kumar Patel

Assistant Professor

Jaypee University of Information Technology

Waknaghat, Solan, HP-173234

Interpolation Search

Definition

Interpolation Search is an efficient searching algorithm for a **sorted array** in which the elements are approximately uniformly distributed.

Unlike Binary Search, which always checks the middle element, Interpolation Search estimates the probable position of the search key.

Binary Search → Middle Position

Interpolation Search → Estimated Position

Prerequisite

Interpolation Search requires:

- The array must be sorted.
- Elements should preferably be uniformly distributed.
- Random access should be available.

Example of approximately uniform data:

[10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80]

Non-uniform data:

[1, 2, 3, 4, 100, 500, 1000]

Important

Interpolation Search performs best when the values are approximately uniformly distributed.

Idea of Interpolation Search

Suppose:

$$A = [10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80]$$

Search for:

$$key = 70$$

Binary Search checks approximately the middle:

40

But interpolation search asks:

Where should 70 approximately occur?

Since 70 is close to the upper end of the range, the algorithm checks a position close to the upper end.

Interpolation Formula

The estimated position is calculated using:

$$pos = low + \frac{(key - A[low])(high - low)}{A[high] - A[low]}$$

where:

- low = lower index
- $high$ = upper index
- key = element being searched
- $A[low]$ = minimum value in current range
- $A[high]$ = maximum value in current range

The formula estimates where the key is likely to be located.

Interpolation Formula: Intuition

The formula is based on the idea of a straight line.

Suppose:

$$A[low] \leq key \leq A[high]$$

The fraction:

$$\frac{key - A[low]}{A[high] - A[low]}$$

tells us approximately how far the key is between the minimum and maximum values.

Therefore:

$$pos = low + fraction \times (high - low)$$

Estimate position instead of always taking the middle

Interpolation Search: Example

Consider:

$$A = [10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90]$$

Search for:

70

Initially:

$$low = 0, \quad high = 8$$

$$A[low] = 10, \quad A[high] = 90$$

Using:

$$pos = 0 + \frac{(70 - 10)(8 - 0)}{90 - 10}$$

$$pos = \frac{60 \times 8}{80}$$

$pos = 6$

Since:

$$A[6] = 70$$

The element is found immediately.

Interpolation Search: Visual Example

$key = 70$

Low		Estimated Position					High	
10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

$A[6] = 70 \Rightarrow \text{Found}$

Interpolation Search: Steps

- 1 Set:

$$low = 0, \quad high = n - 1$$

- 2 Check whether the key lies within:

$$A[low] \leq key \leq A[high]$$

- 3 Calculate the estimated position:

$$pos = low + \frac{(key - A[low])(high - low)}{A[high] - A[low]}$$

- 4 Compare $A[pos]$ with key .

- 5 If equal, return pos .

- 6 If $A[pos] < key$:

$$low = pos + 1$$

- 7 Otherwise:

$$high = pos - 1$$

Interpolation Search: Pseudocode

```
InterpolationSearch(A, n, key)
low = 0; high = n - 1
while low <= high and key >= A[low] and key <= A[high]
    if A[high] == A[low]
        if A[low] == key
            return low
        else
            return -1
    pos = low + ((key - A[low]) * (high - low)) / (A[high] - A[low])
    if A[pos] == key
        return pos
    else if A[pos] < key
        low = pos + 1
    else
        high = pos - 1
return -1
```

Example: Key Near the Beginning

Consider:

$$A = [10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90]$$

Search for:

$$key = 20$$

Interpolation estimates:

$$pos = 0 + \frac{(20 - 10)(8)}{90 - 10}$$

$$pos = \frac{80}{80}$$

$$pos = 1$$

Since:

Interpolation Search: Complexity

Case	Time Complexity
Best Case	$O(1)$
Average Case	$O(\log \log n)$
Worst Case	$O(n)$

For approximately uniformly distributed data:

$$O(\log \log n)$$

In the worst case, if the distribution is highly non-uniform:

$$O(n)$$

Why $O(\log \log n)$?

Binary Search approximately halves the search space:

$$n \rightarrow \frac{n}{2} \rightarrow \frac{n}{4} \rightarrow \dots$$

Therefore:

$$O(\log n)$$

Interpolation Search can make much more accurate position estimates when the data is uniformly distributed.

The search space can shrink much faster. Average complexity:

$$O(\log \log n)$$

Important

The $O(\log \log n)$ bound depends on assumptions about the distribution of the data.

Space Complexity

The iterative implementation uses only a few variables:

- *low*
- *high*
- *pos*
- *key*

No additional data structure is required.

Therefore:

$$S(n) = O(1)$$

Interpolation Search vs Binary Search

Feature	Binary Search	Interpolation Search
Sorted Data	Required	Required
Position	Middle	Estimated
Best Case	$O(1)$	$O(1)$
Average Case	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log \log n)$
Worst Case	$O(\log n)$	$O(n)$
Space	$O(1)$	$O(1)$

Key Difference

Binary Search depends mainly on the **position**, whereas Interpolation Search uses the **values** to estimate the position.

When Should We Use Interpolation Search?

Interpolation Search is suitable when:

- Data is sorted.
- Data is approximately uniformly distributed.
- Random access is available.
- The dataset is large.

Example:

[10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, ...]

is a good candidate.

Highly skewed data such as:

[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 1000, 10000]

may result in poor performance.

Applications of Interpolation Search

Interpolation Search can be useful for:

- Searching large sorted numerical datasets.
- Searching uniformly distributed keys.
- Numerical databases.
- Index structures.
- Searching records with approximately uniform keys.

Practical Observation

For general-purpose searching, Binary Search is often preferred because its $O(\log n)$ worst-case guarantee does not depend on uniform data distribution.

Interpolation Search: Key Takeaways

- 1 Interpolation Search works on a **sorted array**.

Interpolation Search: Key Takeaways

- ① Interpolation Search works on a **sorted array**.
- ② It estimates the position of the key.

Interpolation Search: Key Takeaways

- ① Interpolation Search works on a **sorted array**.
- ② It estimates the position of the key.
- ③ The position is calculated using:

$$pos = low + \frac{(key - A[low])(high - low)}{A[high] - A[low]}$$

Interpolation Search: Key Takeaways

- ① Interpolation Search works on a **sorted array**.
- ② It estimates the position of the key.
- ③ The position is calculated using:

$$pos = low + \frac{(key - A[low])(high - low)}{A[high] - A[low]}$$

- ④ It performs very well for uniformly distributed data.

Interpolation Search: Key Takeaways

- ① Interpolation Search works on a **sorted array**.
- ② It estimates the position of the key.
- ③ The position is calculated using:

$$pos = low + \frac{(key - A[low])(high - low)}{A[high] - A[low]}$$

- ④ It performs very well for uniformly distributed data.
- ⑤ Average complexity:

$$O(\log \log n)$$

Interpolation Search: Key Takeaways

- ① Interpolation Search works on a **sorted array**.
- ② It estimates the position of the key.
- ③ The position is calculated using:

$$pos = low + \frac{(key - A[low])(high - low)}{A[high] - A[low]}$$

- ④ It performs very well for uniformly distributed data.
- ⑤ Average complexity:

$$O(\log \log n)$$

- ⑥ Worst-case complexity:

$$O(n)$$

Median and Selection Problem

Median

The **median** is the middle element when the elements are arranged in sorted order.

For n elements:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Median position} = \frac{n+1}{2}, & n \text{ odd} \\ \text{Median} = \text{average of two middle elements}, & n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

Example:

$$A = [12, 5, 8, 20, 3, 15, 10]$$

Sorted array:

$$[3, 5, 8, 10, 12, 15, 20]$$

Selection Problem

Selection Problem

The **selection problem** is to find the k -th smallest element in an unsorted array without necessarily sorting the entire array.

Examples:

$$k = 1 \Rightarrow \text{Minimum}$$

$$k = n \Rightarrow \text{Maximum}$$

$$k = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil \Rightarrow \text{Median}$$

Key Idea

Finding the median can be treated as a special case of the general **k -th smallest element** problem.

Partition-Based Selection

The idea is similar to the partition operation used in Quick Sort.

Choose a pivot and partition the array into:

Elements smaller than pivot

Pivot

Elements greater than pivot

After partitioning, suppose the pivot reaches position p .

- If $p = k$, the pivot is the required element.
- If $k < p$, search only the left part.
- If $k > p$, search only the right part.

Only one partition is explored

Partition: Example

Consider:

$$A = [12, 5, 8, 20, 3, 15, 10]$$

Choose:

$$\boxed{\text{Pivot} = 10}$$

After partitioning:

$$[5, 8, 3] \quad \boxed{10} \quad [12, 20, 15]$$

There are three elements smaller than 10. Therefore, 10 is the:

$$(3 + 1)^{th} = 4^{th}$$

smallest element. If we need:

$$k = 4$$

then:

$$\boxed{\text{Answer} = 10}$$

Partition-Based Selection: Algorithm

- ① Choose a pivot.
- ② Partition the array around the pivot.
- ③ Let the final position of the pivot be p .
- ④ Compare p with the required rank k .
 - If $p = k$, return the pivot.
 - If $k < p$, continue in the left subarray.
 - If $k > p$, continue in the right subarray.
- ⑤ Repeat until the required element is found.

Selection avoids sorting the entire array

Quickselect Algorithm

```
QuickSelect(A, low, high, k)

if low == high
    return A[low]

p = Partition(A, low, high)

if p == k
    return A[p]

else if k < p
    return QuickSelect(A, low, p-1, k)

else
    return QuickSelect(A, p+1, high, k)
```

Complexity of Partition-Based Selection

Partitioning an array of size n requires:

$$O(n)$$

time.

If the pivot is reasonably balanced:

$$T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n)$$

Therefore:

$$T(n) = O(n)$$

However, if the pivot is always the smallest or largest element:

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + O(n)$$

which gives:

$$T(n) = O(n^2)$$

Randomized Selection

The performance of Quickselect depends heavily on the choice of pivot.

Instead of always choosing a fixed position, choose the pivot **randomly**.

This gives:

Randomized Quickselect

Advantages:

- Avoids consistently poor pivot choices.
- Expected linear running time.
- Simple to implement.
- Does not require the array to be sorted.

Randomized Partition

```
RandomizedPartition(A, low, high)

r = Random(low, high)

swap(A[r], A[high])

return Partition(A, low, high)
```

The randomly selected element is moved to the partition position.

Random Pivot → Partition

Randomized Select

```
RandomizedSelect(A, low, high, k)

if low == high
    return A[low]

p = RandomizedPartition(A, low, high)

if p == k
    return A[p]

else if k < p
    return RandomizedSelect(A, low, p-1, k)

else
    return RandomizedSelect(A, p+1, high, k)
```

Randomized Selection: Complexity

Each partition requires:

$$O(n)$$

time.

Because the pivot is selected randomly, the expected size of the remaining problem decreases sufficiently fast.

Expected recurrence:

$$T(n) = T(\text{smaller expected subproblem}) + O(n)$$

Therefore:

$$E[T(n)] = O(n)$$

Worst case is still possible:

Why Do We Need Median-of-Medians?

Randomized Selection has:

Expected $O(n)$

but its worst case can still be:

$O(n^2)$

Can we choose a pivot that guarantees a **good partition**?

Why Do We Need Median-of-Medians?

Randomized Selection has:

Expected $O(n)$

but its worst case can still be:

$O(n^2)$

Can we choose a pivot that guarantees a **good partition**?

Yes.

Median-of-Medians

The algorithm selects a pivot deterministically such that a constant fraction of elements is guaranteed to be discarded at every iteration.

Median-of-Medians: Basic Idea

Suppose we have:

$A = [\text{unsorted elements}]$

Divide the elements into groups of:

5

For example:

12	5	8	20	3
15	10	7	18	6
25	11	14	2	9

Sort each group and find its median.

Then recursively find the median of these medians.

Median-of-Medians: Example

Consider:

$$A = [12, 5, 8, 20, 3, 15, 10, 7, 18, 6, 25, 11, 14, 2, 9]$$

Divide into groups of five:

12	5	8	20	3
15	10	7	18	6
25	11	14	2	9

Sort each group:

[3, 5, 8, 12, 20]

[6, 7, 10, 15, 18]

[2, 9, 11, 14, 25]

Median-of-Medians: Steps

- 1 Divide the array into groups of at most five elements.

Median-of-Medians: Steps

- ➊ Divide the array into groups of at most five elements.
- ➋ Sort each group.

Median-of-Medians: Steps

- ➊ Divide the array into groups of at most five elements.
- ➋ Sort each group.
- ➌ Find the median of each group.

Median-of-Medians: Steps

- ① Divide the array into groups of at most five elements.
- ② Sort each group.
- ③ Find the median of each group.
- ④ Recursively find the median of these medians.

Median-of-Medians: Steps

- ➊ Divide the array into groups of at most five elements.
- ➋ Sort each group.
- ➌ Find the median of each group.
- ➍ Recursively find the median of these medians.
- ➎ Use this value as the pivot.

Median-of-Medians: Steps

- ➊ Divide the array into groups of at most five elements.
- ➋ Sort each group.
- ➌ Find the median of each group.
- ➍ Recursively find the median of these medians.
- ➎ Use this value as the pivot.
- ➏ Partition the original array around this pivot.

Median-of-Medians: Steps

- ➊ Divide the array into groups of at most five elements.
- ➋ Sort each group.
- ➌ Find the median of each group.
- ➍ Recursively find the median of these medians.
- ➎ Use this value as the pivot.
- ➏ Partition the original array around this pivot.
- ➐ Recursively search only the required partition.

Median-of-Medians: Pseudocode

```
Select(A, k)
  if size(A) <= 5
    sort(A)
    return A[k]
  Divide A into groups of 5
  Find the median of each group
  pivot = Select(medians, middle)
  Partition A around pivot
  if pivot has rank k
    return pivot
  else if k < pivot rank
    Select(left part, k)
  else
    Select(right part, k - pivot rank)
```

Why Median-of-Medians is Linear

The median-of-medians pivot guarantees that a constant fraction of elements lies on both sides of the pivot.

For groups of five, at least approximately:

$$\frac{3n}{10}$$

elements are guaranteed to be on each side (up to small boundary effects).

Therefore, the remaining recursive problem has size at most approximately:

$$\frac{7n}{10}$$

The recurrence is:

$$T(n) \leq T\left(\frac{n}{5}\right) + T\left(\frac{7n}{10}\right) + O(n)$$

Comparison of Selection Methods

Method	Average/Expected	Worst Case
Sorting + Middle	$O(n \log n)$	$O(n \log n)$
Quickselect	$O(n)$	$O(n^2)$
Randomized Quickselect	$O(n)$ expected	$O(n^2)$
Median-of-Medians	$O(n)$	$O(n)$

Key Observation

Median-of-Medians provides a deterministic **worst-case linear-time** selection algorithm.

Selection Algorithms: Intuition

Method	Pivot Strategy
Quickselect	Fixed/chosen pivot
Randomized Select	Random pivot
Median-of-Medians	Carefully selected pivot

Quickselect
↓
Simple but worst case $O(n^2)$

Randomized Select
↓
Expected $O(n)$

Median-of-Medians
↓
Guaranteed $O(n)$

Key Takeaways

- ① Finding the median is a special case of the **selection problem**.

Key Takeaways

- ① Finding the median is a special case of the **selection problem**.
- ② Partition-based selection is called **Quickselect**.

Key Takeaways

- ① Finding the median is a special case of the **selection problem**.
- ② Partition-based selection is called **Quickselect**.
- ③ Quickselect has average $O(n)$ but worst-case $O(n^2)$ complexity.

Key Takeaways

- ① Finding the median is a special case of the **selection problem**.
- ② Partition-based selection is called **Quickselect**.
- ③ Quickselect has average $O(n)$ but worst-case $O(n^2)$ complexity.
- ④ Randomized Quickselect chooses the pivot randomly and has expected $O(n)$ complexity.

Key Takeaways

- ① Finding the median is a special case of the **selection problem**.
- ② Partition-based selection is called **Quickselect**.
- ③ Quickselect has average $O(n)$ but worst-case $O(n^2)$ complexity.
- ④ Randomized Quickselect chooses the pivot randomly and has expected $O(n)$ complexity.
- ⑤ Median-of-Medians chooses a deterministic high-quality pivot.

Key Takeaways

- ① Finding the median is a special case of the **selection problem**.
- ② Partition-based selection is called **Quickselect**.
- ③ Quickselect has average $O(n)$ but worst-case $O(n^2)$ complexity.
- ④ Randomized Quickselect chooses the pivot randomly and has expected $O(n)$ complexity.
- ⑤ Median-of-Medians chooses a deterministic high-quality pivot.
- ⑥ Median-of-Medians guarantees:

$$O(n)$$

worst-case time.

Remember

Selection $\neq$ Sorting

We do not need to sort the entire array to find its median.

Thank You

Questions?